arXiv:1412.2606v1 [physics.atom-ph] 5 Dec 2014

Accepted for publication in ApJ Suppl.

# State resolved data for radiative association of H and $H^+$ and for photodissociation of $H_2^+$

James F. Babb

*ITAMP, Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics,*
*MS 14, 60 Garden St., Cambridge, MA 02138-1516*

jbabb@cfa.harvard.edu

## ABSTRACT

The matrix elements and energies needed to calculate vibrational-rotational state resolved cross sections and rate coefficients for radiative association of H and $H^+$ and for photodissociation of $H_2^+$ are presented for applications to simulations of chemistry in the early Universe and to stellar atmospheres.

*Subject headings:* molecular processes — (cosmology:) early universe — Sun: atmosphere — (stars:) white dwarfs

## 1. Introduction

Radiative association of H and $H^+$ produces $H_2^+$ in various vibrational-rotational states. Recent studies of $H_2$ chemistry in the early Universe indicated the necessity of accounting for the vibrational-rotational state distribution of the $H_2^+$ population in the absence of local thermodynamic equilibrium, which accordingly requires "state-resolved", i.e., vibrational-rotational state resolved, calculations of data for the $H + H^+$ radiative association process and for the inverse process, photodissociation of $H_2^+$. The absence of such data in the literature was noted by Hirata & Padmanabhan (2006), Coppola et al. (2011), and Glover et al. (2014). In the present paper, the necessary data to calculate radiative association and photodissociation cross sections for each vibrational-rotational level of $H_2^+$ are presented. Cross sections so assembled can then be summed or averaged as required for particular applications, e.g., rate coefficients for non-local thermal equilibrium models. In addition to being useful for early Universe chemistry models, $H_2^+$ photodissociation cross sections enter

in models of the solar atmosphere (Stancil 1994; Mihajlov et al. 2007), where $H_2^+$ is vibrationally excited (Glassgold 1998, p.168), and in models of the atmospheres of DA white dwarfs (Santos & Kepler 2012).

The radiative association reaction

$$H + H^+ \rightarrow H_2^+(v, N) + h\nu \tag{1}$$

and its inverse process photodissociation

$$H_2^+(v, N) + h\nu \rightarrow H + H^+ \tag{2}$$

for a photon of energy $h\nu$ are related through microscopic reversibility (Moses & Wu 1951b,a; Light et al. 1969; Hirata & Padmanabhan 2006), where $(v, N)$ denotes the vibrational $v$ and rotational $N$ quantum number of the molecular ion.

The rate coefficients for (1) calculated by Bates (1951), Ramaker & Peek (1976), and Stancil et al. (1993) are in agreement. The cross section summed over all bound states $(v, N)$ of $H_2^+$ was given by Stancil et al. (1993).

The photodissociation cross sections for (2) were calculated by Oksyuk (1967), Dunn (1968a), Dunn (1968b), Argyros (1974), Stancil (1994), Lebedev & Presnyakov (2002), and Mihajlov et al. (2007). Where individual data for select $(v, N)$ levels and photon energies $h\nu$ is given there is general agreement between their calculations. A tabulation of the photodissociation cross sections for each of the vibrational states $(v, 1)$ is given in the report of Dunn (1968b). Recently, calculations of the photodissociation cross sections were carried out for applications to DA white dwarfs by Santos & Kepler (2012).

## 2. Theory

The cross section for radiative association (1) is (Stancil et al. 1993)

$$\bar{\sigma}_N^{\rm ra}(v, E) = \frac{8}{3}\frac{\pi^2}{\hbar^3 c^3}\frac{h^3\nu^3}{k^2}p[NM^2_{v,N-1;k,N} + (N+1)M^2_{v,N+1;k,N}], \tag{3}$$

and $M_{v,N-1;k,N}$ is the matrix element of the transition dipole moment between the continuum wave function of energy $E$ and angular momentum $N$ and the bound vibrational-rotational wave function, $k^2 = 2\mu E/\hbar^2$, $\mu$ is the reduced mass of the colliding system, $h\nu$ is the photon energy, and $p = 1/2$ is the probability of approach in the $2p\sigma_u$ electronic state.

The cross section for photodissociation is (Lebedev & Presnyakov 2002)

$$\bar{\sigma}_{v,N}^{\rm pd}(h\nu) = \frac{8\pi^3\nu}{3c(2N+1)}[(N+1)M^2_{v,N;k,N+1} + NM^2_{v,N;k,N-1}]. \tag{4}$$

In Eqs. (3) and (4) the nuclear spin symmetry weighting is omitted and it can be included later if the cross sections are being folded into a temperature distribution (Stancil 1994; Mihajlov et al. 2007). The effect of centrifugal distortion is negligible for the repulsive $2p\sigma_u$ state and it is a good approximation to replace $N+1$ and $N-1$ by $N$ in Eqs. (3) and (4). Then,

$$\sigma_N^{\rm ra}(v,E) = \frac{8}{3}\frac{\pi^2}{\hbar^3 c^3}\frac{h^3\nu^3}{k^2}p(2N+1)M^2_{v,N;k,N} \tag{5}$$

and the cross section for photodissociation is

$$\sigma_{v,N}^{\rm pd}(h\nu) = \frac{4\pi^2 h\nu}{3\hbar c}M^2_{v,N;k,N} \quad . \tag{6}$$

A comparison of (5) and (6) shows that

$$\sigma_N^{\rm ra}(v,E) = p\frac{(h\nu)^2}{\mu c^2 E}(2N+1)\sigma_{v,N}^{\rm pd}(h\nu), \tag{7}$$

in accordance with microscopic reversibility (Light et al. 1969). Thus, tabulation of $v$, $N$, $E_{v,N}$, $E$, and $M^2_{v,N;k,N}$ provides the necessary information for calculations of $\sigma_N^{\rm ra}(v,E)$ and $\sigma_{v,N}^{\rm pd}(h\nu)$, subject to the requirement $\delta(h\nu - (|E_{v,N}| + E))$ for either (5) or (6), where $E_{v,N}$ is the vibrational-rotational eigenvalue in the $1s\sigma_g$ state measured with respect to the dissociation limit (taken to be zero here).

## 3. Molecular states

The Born-Oppenheimer potential energy surfaces for the ground $1s\sigma_g$ state and excited $2p\sigma_u$ state were calculated using the methods described by Madsen & Peek (1971) and extended asymptotically as in Stancil et al. (1993). The transition dipole moment was calculated using a variational method (Babb 1994) and extended using the asymptotic formula of Ramaker & Peek (1973). Energy differences and oscillator strengths are in excellent agreement with the recent calculations of Tsogbayar & Banzragch (2010).

There are 423 bound vibrational-rotational levels for $H_2^+$ in the $1s\sigma_g$ state. In the present work, the Born-Oppenheimer potential is used and adiabatic, relativistic, and radiative corrections are ignored. It is worth noting that more precise approaches yield the same number of bound levels (Hunter et al. 1974; Moss 1993), but due to the inclusion of higher order corrections the resulting eigenvalues differ from those obtained using only the Born-Oppenheimer potential. For the present purposes, the corrections are not needed.

The energy-normalized continuum wave functions on the $2p\sigma_u$ state were evaluated as in Stancil et al. (1993).

## 4. Calculations

The quantities are calculated at a range of energies from the threshold energy $|E_{v,N}|$ for photodissociation of level $(v, N)$ to a maximum photon wavelength of 55 nm (22.5 eV or 0.828 a.u.). Only values of $M^2_{v,N;k,N} > 1 \times 10^{-6}$ are listed.

Table 1 gives the vibrational quantum number $v$, the rotational quantum number $N$, the relative energy $E$ in atomic units of energy (27.2114 eV), the eigenvalue $|E_{v,N}|$ in cm$^{-1}$, the photon wavelength $\lambda = c/h\nu$ in nm, and the value of $M^2_{v,N;k,N}$ in atomic units.

The photodissociation cross section in units of cm$^2$ is (el-Qadi & Stancil 2013)

$$\sigma^{\rm pd}_{v,N}(h\nu) = 2.689 \times 10^{-18}(45.563/\lambda)M^2_{v,N;k,N} \quad {\rm cm}^2, \tag{8}$$

with $\lambda$ in nm and $M^2_{v,N;k,N}$ in atomic units. The radiative association cross section in units of cm$^2$ is (Stancil et al. 1993)

$$\sigma^{\rm ra}_{N}(v, E) = 1.475 \times 10^{-20}(45.563/\lambda)^3(2N+1)E^{-1}M^2_{v,N;k,N} \quad {\rm cm}^2, \tag{9}$$

with $\lambda$ in nm, $E$ in atomic units, and $M^2_{v,N;k,N}$ in atomic units.

Dunn (1968a,b) calculated the photodissociation cross section for $v = 0$ to $v = 18$ using the potential energy surfaces calculated by Bates et al. (1953). There are slight differences between the accurate potential energy surfaces of the present work and the early calculations of Bates et al. (1953). Nevertheless, agreement is generally good between the current calculations and the tabulated values of Dunn (1968a,b). In Figure (1) for $v = 10, N = 0$, a plot of $\sigma^{\rm pd}_{v,N}(h\nu)$ calculated from the data in Table (1) is presented. The representation is very good and the tabulated data reflect the peaks arising from the $v = 10$ bound state wave function. The present tabulated data can be interpolated using cubic splines, but due to the oscillations several intervals should be selected.

ITAMP is supported in part by a grant from the NSF to the Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory and Harvard University.

## REFERENCES

Argyros, J. D. 1974, J. Phys. B, 7, 2025

Babb, J. F. 1994, Molec. Phys., 81, 17

Bates, D. R. 1951, MNRAS, 111, 303

Bates, D. R., Ledsham, K., & Stewart, A. L. 1953, Philos.Trans. R. Soc. London, Series A, 246, pp. 215

Coppola, C. M., Longo, S., Capitelli, M., Palla, F., & Galli, D. 2011, ApJS, 193, 7

Dunn, G. H. 1968a, Phys. Rev., 172, 1

—. 1968b, Photodissociation of $H_2^+$ and $D_2^+$: Theory and Tables, Tech. Rep. JILA Report 92, JILA, University of Colorado

el-Qadi, W. H., & Stancil, P. C. 2013, ApJ, 779, 97

Glassgold, A. E. 1998, in International series on astronomy and astrophysics ; 4, Vol. 4, The molecular astrophysics of stars and galaxies, ed. T. W. Hartquist & D. A. Williams (Oxford: Clarendon Press), 164

Glover, S. C. O., Chluba, J., Furlanetto, S. R., Pritchard, J. R., & Savin, D. W. 2014, in Advances In Atomic Molecular and Optical Physics, ed. Arimondo, E and Berman, PR and Lin, CC, Vol. 63 (San Diego: Academic Press), 135–270

Hirata, C. M., & Padmanabhan, N. 2006, MNRAS, 372, 1175

Hunter, G., Yau, A. W., & Pritchard, H. O. 1974, ADNDT 14, 11

Lebedev, V. S., & Presnyakov, L. P. 2002, J. Phys. B, 35, 4347

Light, J. C., Ross, J., & Shuler, K. E. 1969, in Kinetic Processes in Gases and Plasmas, ed. A. R. Hochstim (New York: Academic Press), 281

Madsen, M. M., & Peek, J. M. 1971, ADNDT, 2, 171

Mihajlov, A. A., Ignjatović, L. M., Sakan, N. M., & Dimitrijević, M. S. 2007, A&A, 469, 749

Moses, H. E., & Wu, T.-Y. 1951a, Phys. Rev., 84, 168

—. 1951b, Phys. Rev., 83, 109

Moss, R. E. 1993, Molec. Phys., 80, 1541

Oksyuk, Y. D. 1967, Opt. Spectrosc., 23, 115

Ramaker, D. E., & Peek, J. M. 1973, ADNDT, 5, 167

—. 1976, Phys. Rev. A, 13, 58

Santos, M. G., & Kepler, S. O. 2012, MNRAS, 423, 68

Stancil, P. C. 1994, ApJ, 430, 360

Stancil, P. C., Babb, J. F., & Dalgarno, A. 1993, ApJ, 414, 672

Tsogbayar, T., & Banzragch, T. 2010, arxiv:1007.4354

This preprint was prepared with the AAS LaTeX macros v5.2.




Fig. 1.— For $v = 10, N = 0$, plot of $\sigma^{\rm pd}_{v,N}(h\nu)$ calculated from the data in Table (1).

Table 1. Calculated values of the squared matrix element, $M^2_{v,N;k,N}$, for bound vibrational-rotational levels $(v, N)$ of the $1s\sigma_g$ state of $H_2^+$ with eigenvalue $|E_{v,N}|$ to a continuum level of the $2p\sigma_u$ state with energy $E$ for a photon of energy $h\nu$. In the table, $E$ is in atomic units, $|E_{v,N}|$ is in $cm^{-1}$, $c/h\nu$ is in nm, and $M^2_{v,N;k,N}$ is in atomic units.

| $v$ | $N$ | $E$ | $\lvert E_{v,N}\rvert$ | $c/h\nu$ | $M^2_{v,N;k,N}$ |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 0 | 0.7310E+00 | 21375.95 | 55.00 | 0.1333E-03 |
| 0 | 0 | 0.7249E+00 | 21375.95 | 55.41 | 0.1687E-03 |
| 0 | 0 | 0.7188E+00 | 21375.95 | 55.83 | 0.2126E-03 |
| 0 | 0 | 0.7128E+00 | 21375.95 | 56.24 | 0.2667E-03 |
| 0 | 0 | 0.7069E+00 | 21375.95 | 56.65 | 0.3329E-03 |
| 0 | 0 | 0.7011E+00 | 21375.95 | 57.06 | 0.4138E-03 |
| 0 | 0 | 0.6953E+00 | 21375.95 | 57.48 | 0.5122E-03 |
| 0 | 0 | 0.6897E+00 | 21375.95 | 57.89 | 0.6312E-03 |
| 0 | 0 | 0.6841E+00 | 21375.95 | 58.30 | 0.7747E-03 |
| 0 | 0 | 0.6786E+00 | 21375.95 | 58.72 | 0.9469E-03 |
| 0 | 0 | 0.6732E+00 | 21375.95 | 59.13 | 0.1153E-02 |
| 0 | 0 | 0.6678E+00 | 21375.95 | 59.54 | 0.1398E-02 |
| 0 | 0 | 0.6626E+00 | 21375.95 | 59.95 | 0.1689E-02 |
| 0 | 0 | 0.6574E+00 | 21375.95 | 60.37 | 0.2033E-02 |
| 0 | 0 | 0.6523E+00 | 21375.95 | 60.78 | 0.2438E-02 |
| 0 | 0 | 0.6472E+00 | 21375.95 | 61.19 | 0.2914E-02 |
| 0 | 0 | 0.6422E+00 | 21375.95 | 61.61 | 0.3470E-02 |
| 0 | 0 | 0.6373E+00 | 21375.95 | 62.02 | 0.4118E-02 |
| 0 | 0 | 0.6324E+00 | 21375.95 | 62.43 | 0.4872E-02 |
| 0 | 0 | 0.6276E+00 | 21375.95 | 62.84 | 0.5743E-02 |
| 0 | 0 | 0.6229E+00 | 21375.95 | 63.26 | 0.6750E-02 |
| 0 | 0 | 0.6182E+00 | 21375.95 | 63.67 | 0.7907E-02 |
| 0 | 0 | 0.6136E+00 | 21375.95 | 64.08 | 0.9235E-02 |
| 0 | 0 | 0.6091E+00 | 21375.95 | 64.49 | 0.1075E-01 |
| 0 | 0 | 0.6046E+00 | 21375.95 | 64.91 | 0.1248E-01 |
| 0 | 0 | 0.6001E+00 | 21375.95 | 65.32 | 0.1445E-01 |
| 0 | 0 | 0.5958E+00 | 21375.95 | 65.73 | 0.1668E-01 |
| 0 | 0 | 0.5914E+00 | 21375.95 | 66.15 | 0.1920E-01 |
| 0 | 0 | 0.5872E+00 | 21375.95 | 66.56 | 0.2204E-01 |
| 0 | 0 | 0.5829E+00 | 21375.95 | 66.97 | 0.2523E-01 |

Note. — Table 1 is published in its entirety in the electronic edition of the *Astrophysical Journal*. A portion is shown here for guidance regarding its form and content.